

**Meeting Notes from the Regular Meeting of the Peabody Board of Health,
January 27, 2022**

In Attendance: Chairperson Thomas J. Durkin III; Julia Fleet, D.O.; Anthony Carli; Health
Department Director Sharon Cameron; and Recording Secretary Lisa Greene
The meeting was held remotely Via Zoom at 4:00 p.m.

Subject: Meeting called to order

Discussion: Mr. Durkin called meeting to order at 4:02 p.m.

HEARINGS

Subject: Scheduled for 4:00 pm –Hearing regarding Correction Order and Fine issued to Seven-Eleven at 23 Newbury St for violations of Regulation of Peabody Board of Health Restricting the Sale of Tobacco Products, An Act to Modernize Tobacco Control, and 105 CMR 665.000. Vote anticipated to uphold, modify, suspend or revoke the Correction Order and associated penalties

Discussion: Mr. Durkin opened the hearing at 4:02 and read the hearing notice. He asked Inspector Randy Suckney to provide a briefing. Mr. Suckney explained that he had been conducting a routine inspection at this location on Dec. 14, 2021 and found that they were selling a flavored vape product, the Delta 8 flavored product, which is considered a controlled substance in Massachusetts. Mr. Carli asked if this was the only Seven-11 store in Peabody. Mr. Suckney replied that there are three. Joyce Redford said she wasn't there at the time of the inspection but this Delta 8 product has come up across the collaborative, and retailers have said that these are not flavors, but the company's basic letter attests that this doesn't fall under the statewide flavor ban because it is not a tobacco product. But in reality the statewide flavor ban says anything that can be vaped falls under the regulation. Different versions are called Blueberry cookie, cereal milk, hippie crusher, animal cookie, banana. Since we are not a lab, we will assume it is a flavor if it is branded as having a flavor. Retailers sometimes say we don't have jurisdiction to control hemp, but under the state law we can because it is a flavored vapeable product. Mr. Ryan Siliba was on the zoom call and Mr. Durkin asked him to address this issue. Mr. Siliba said he is the only owner of this location. He said that he had two violations two years ago in one year but fired his manager and hired his current manager. He explained that he was duped by the salesperson, and that he has only sold 2 or 3 units of this product since then. He asked do you think we are going to risk making \$20-\$30 per month and risk suspension for just \$20. He said If the tobacco permit gets suspended for 7 days, 7-11 will estimate how much I would have sold and expect that amount to still be paid to them. He said that it was an honest mistake on our part that we were duped by the vendor, and added that he called the vendor immediately, who continues to say that these are legal, and he said he is putting these in a lot of stores, adding that it is not fair to cost him so much for an innocent mistake.

On Zoom was another party who asked to speak on this matter. He identified himself as Carlos, the store manager, and said that the vendor is someone who they have dealt with for years, adding that he is who they have relied upon for years, and that they assumed that by him saying it was ok that they were ok to sell the product. He added that this vendor has in the past said no to giving them requested products and said you can not sell that it's not allowed, so they trusted him. He said that Randy can witness that he called the vendor who said that this is the first time he's heard of the product being prohibited. He said this vape does not fall under the tobacco vape law because it has no nicotine. Carlos explained that he drives 30 miles away from his house each day to work at this location, and that he was asked to come straighten up this store after their prior violation, and would

not risk this or his job of 17 years, we don't play that game, never once in 17 years been in violation for selling underage or any other regulations. Inspector Suckney said in November 2019 they were fined \$100 for a first violation of flavor regulation of combustible tobacco. Mr. Siliba replied that was an oversight on our side, a kind of cigar packaged in a box like cigarettes, we did not see it, we were even selling it for \$3.00 and not \$5.00, because it looked like cigarettes. Inspector Suckney said it was for selling jazz and blue cigars, a flavor violation. Carlos said that after that violation you gave us a list of what can be sold, we looked at the list, but before that we did not have a list. You had been there before and Joyce has been there before and never had any complaints. From now on if any new items are proposed I am going to call Randy to ask him, there are so many laws and regulations in this state. Ryan said he was confused about that November 2019 violation, but because it was just \$100, he had decided to just pay the violation and move on. Joyce Redford reminded that just because they can call the board of health or herself to ask questions and get guidance, it is not our job to go through every item in a store. She said that the first line of action a retailer should take in deciding if a product can be sold is a simple google search, and the burden should not be on the board of health. Permit holders should know what they are selling in their stores. Ms. Siliba said that Randy offered to have us call him. He said that they have 1,000s of items and hundreds of tobacco items, but when you deal with a vendor for years and years you come to rely on him but added that he will not going forward. He said they did not know the procedures, there are so many laws about so many things, but we hope you use your fairness and dismiss the case. Mr. Durkin asked if the Board members have any questions. None were put forth. Mr. Durkin said that you both seem like honorable businessmen, but there was a violation, and you say it is a technicality, but the laws are in place to protect the public health and there was a violation. Mr. Durkin asked if anyone wanted to speak on this. No one came forth. Dr. Fleet said that we talked before about flavored products and the reason for the stringent laws on this for the attractiveness to children. She added that the fine and suspension seem to be very burdensome, but she said that she does not want to minimize the importance of these regulations. Mr. Durkin said in the past we have held a punishment and fine in abeyance with the requirement that if another violation occurs it would be a fine of \$2,000 and a 30-day suspension. Mr. Carli said he was apprehensive about doing this only because in the past this was used against us in a similar case, which happened last month. Mr. Durkin asked Mr. Siliba if he understands that if we were to hold this in abeyance, the next violation would go to a 30 day suspension and a \$2,000 fine. Mr. Siliba said that he understands, and if it happens a job would be on the line. Joyce Redford reminded that this is a slippery slope and that other retailers have also promised not to have a second violation and she worries about how it will set a precedent. Mr. Durkin said that there will be cases where there are no mitigating circumstances so it is on us to state it in such a way to show it is different. Mr. Carli said he is concerned that it is going to happen, even last month, they say it wasn't malicious and they did not intend to do it, so we don't want to alter the regulations by doing this, and Joyce hit the nail on the head saying that it is a slippery slope, the laws are there for a reason and the fines are there for a reason, we have to remember why we are enforcing these. Mr. Carli made a motion to uphold the violation \$1,000 fine and 7- day suspension. Dr. Fleet asked if we could fine them and put the suspension in abeyance. Ms. Cameron reminded that the language of the regulation says shall and not may. She added that we are in a time when we had local requirements that became state requirements, so we have a first violation under local regulations and a second violation under state regulations. She said that she is very concerned hearing that a distributor said 'they said I can sell it so I sold it', and that at least we expect that a permit holder would be affirmatively working on these matters. She added that in a past case it was said that we did not take the incident seriously enough because we waived the penalty so that shows that the violation was not egregious, so she is concerned about not implementing a penalty. Dr. Fleet seconded the motion. A vote was taken and the penalty was upheld. Mr. Durkin told Mr. Siliba that

we wish you well, we don't quarrel with your intention but this is something we have to do to protect the well being of the citizens of Peabody. Ms. Cameron told Mr. Siliba to contact Inspector Suckney tomorrow and give him a date over the next three weeks to begin the suspension. Mr. Siliba agreed.

Subject: Scheduled for 4:10 pm – Hearing at the request of James Motzkin re: Notice of Inspection and Order to Correct Violations and Citation issued to JRM Hauling re: violation of City of Peabody Code of Ordinance Chapter 13. Vote anticipated to uphold, modify, suspend or revoke the Correction Order and associated penalties.

Discussion: Mr. Durkin reported that the hearing request had been withdrawn by the applicant. Applicant indicated their intention to pay the fine.

Subject: Scheduled for 4:15 pm - Hearing at the request of Shawn McCarron to review and vote on the application to operate a body tattooing establishment at 3 Bourbon St. Peabody.

Discussion: Mr. Durkin read the hearing notice and opened the hearing at 4:38. Shawn McCarron was present and Mr. Durkin asked him to tell why he wants this permit. Mr. McCarron explained that he saw an opportunity for a buildout to be done and an opportunity to work closer to home, adding that he owns a business in Topsfield, so a business here would mean less travel time and that he could be home a little more. He said that he thinks that the set up is great for him since the space is a little smaller than his Cambridge location, and added that he likes the area, and that his wife is a nurse in Peabody, so he is fond of the area and thought it was a good opportunity. Ms. Cameron explained that Inspector Yale was not available to attend today, but had told Ms. Cameron he had inspected the space and it meets all requirements. Ms. Cameron said John Yale mentioned that many tattoo artists use fully disposable tools, but Mr. McCarron uses the traditional equipment and has an autoclave, and that he is all set with that equipment. Mr. Carli asked if he has to apply for him to be an artist or is it just for the studio. Ms. Cameron said that he has not applied to become a practitioner yet, he wanted to get the business permit out of the way first. Dr. Fleet asked why the autoclave versus reusable equipment. Mr. McCarron replied that some people like disposable and some don't. In Cambridge some artists use the disposable type, but he likes a 316 stainless steel, autoclaved and tested. He feels that there is less waste, more affordability and feels he delivers a better product using something more well-built. Mr. Durkin asked if Mr. McCarron intends to apply for a permit to practice in Peabody as well. He replied yes, but wanted to get the shop situated first. He added that he has been practicing in Cambridge for 20 years. Ms. Cameron asked about Covid Safety protocols. Mr. McCarron said he and employees wear masks constantly, as that is the rule in Cambridge, and typical PPE, and follow regular protocols, use hand sanitizer, ask a questionnaire about exposure, colds, temperature, he keeps a temperature gun he uses in case he is concerned, otherwise he just uses typical protocols, like a dentist, handwashing and gloves, it's a routine. Mr. Durkin asked if anyone else had anything to say in favor or opposition. No responses were given. Mr. Carli made motion to approve permit for tattoo establishment. Dr. Fleet Seconded the motion. The Board then unanimously voted to grant permit.

Subject: Scheduled for 4:20 pm – Hearing at the request of Jessica Helgeson to review and vote on the application for Permit to Perform Body Tattooing (apprentice only) in Peabody.

Discussion: Mr. Durkin opened the hearing at 4:46 and read the hearing notice. Jessica Helgeson was present. Mr. Durkin asked Ms. Helgeson to tell why she was applying for this permit. She

explained that she has been doing massage for 20 years and was an esthetician for 16 years, and over the course of working became fascinated with the tattoo side. She told that she took a class at Prettyology in Peabody, and now she can apprentice there. Mr. Durkin asked if anyone had any questions. None were put forth. He asked if the application was all in order. Ms. Cameron replied that it was. Mr. Durkin asked how many people work at Prettyology. Ms. Hegelson replied that they have 3-4 people who work full time, and that she will be the 3rd apprentice. Mr. Durkin asked if anyone in the audience wished to speak in favor or against this application? No responses were received so he closed the hearing at 4:48. Mr. Carli made a motion to approve the application. Dr. Fleet seconded the motion. Ms. Cameron clarified this application is for micropigmentation only. A vote was taken and it was unanimously agreed to approve the permit.

Subject: Scheduled for 4:30 pm – Hearing at the request of Mercia Sousa to review and vote on the application to keep animals (6 chickens) at 43 Tracey St.

Discussion: Mr. Durkin opened the hearing and read the hearing notice. He asked Ms. Sousa why she would like to have chickens. Ms. Sousa replied that she had them before and wanted them again. Ms. Cameron told that Ms. Sousa had a permit for chickens before but gave it up. She added that there had not been any violations at that time. She told that Ms. Sousa has pest control boxes and provided a statement from her pest control specialist, and that the coop meets all required setbacks. Mr. Durkin said that we have a concern about rodents, rats especially, and want to make sure that you are not going to make that problem any worse. She replied that she understands and will not. Mr. Durkin asked if anyone else wanted to speak on this application. No hands were raised. He closed the hearing. Mr. Carli made a motion to approve the permit. Dr. Fleet seconded the motion. Mr. Durkin asked if anyone had any other comments or discussion on this matter. None was put forth. A vote was taken and it was unanimously agreed to approve the permit for up to 6 chickens. Mr. Durkin reminded Ms. Sousa to be careful about rodents.

BUSINESS

Subject: Approval of minutes December 16, 2021- Vote anticipated to approve or amend minutes.

Discussion: Mr. Carli made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Dr. Fleet seconded the motion. Mr. Durkin called for a vote, and it was unanimously voted to approve the minutes.

Subject: Approval of minutes from the December 22, 2021 special meeting. Vote anticipated to approve or amend minutes.

Discussion: Mr. Carli made a motion to approve the minutes as presented. Dr. Fleet seconded the motion. Mr. Durkin called for a vote, and it was unanimously agreed to approve the special meeting minutes.

Subject Update on COVID-19 response actions-a. Data update

Discussion: Ms. Cameron reminded the board that the data report is put up on the city website every week. She explained that they pull the data every Monday, and this state data is from prior Thursday, and is updated every Thursday at 5 pm. She reported that as of yesterday Peabody has had 15,000 Covid cases, 379 deaths, 12,201 people have recovered, and there are currently over 2,000 active cases. She pointed out that these numbers significantly undercount the prevalence of Covid in the community. People are self-testing and are taking steps on their own to inform close contacts

and to quarantine, so these numbers are not complete. She said that we had a significant third peak, with numbers higher than other time in the pandemic, numbers are significantly higher. She told that the 14 day incidence rate trends similar to the state, but our actual numbers are higher than the state numbers. The average new cases per day (per 100,000 residents) incidence rate has been much higher in the last year, but in last week has started to go down, but is still very high. Last week there were 279.8 each day, so about 154 new case per day over past two weeks. The 7 day incidence rate made a significant drop over the last week but is still high with 130 new cases per 100,000 residents, for about 72 new cases per day. Percent positivity is another metric we use, and the trends tend to mirror those of the state, but Peabody is a little higher, with 21.48% of all tests coming back positive. Earlier they were looking at rates above 4% as concern, then 10%, and we are at 20%, so we have decreased a little but still very high. 21% of cases are among children; for the most part children have been spared serious illness but are driving transmission. Our highest percentage of deaths are in our older populations, but we have also had deaths in every age group in the community.

Subject Update on COVID-19 response actions - b. Vaccination updates

Discussion: Ms. Cameron explained that for vaccinations: 80% of population has 1 dose, 72% have completed the initial series, and 35% of population has been boosted. She told that they continue to promote vaccination. She said that they continue to see gaps in some ethnic groups so we continue to distribute information in relevant language for those groups. Other relevant data: snapshot on public schools shows that after the holiday there was a significant increase in cases. We are now seeing a downward trend, but still very high and does not represent all testing; actual numbers much higher than we see here.

What's going on in hospitals: we reported that we were contacted by leaders of hospital groups re capacity to absorb cases. Even though severity is lower, the sheer number of omicron cases has placed a significant burden on the state's healthcare system. The number of hospitalizations is on the decline but is still very high. The ICU numbers are not as high as 1st or 2nd peak but still very high. The issue that the hospital leaders shared is capacity and bed occupancy. In NE MA, we had 1.3% of all med surgical beds currently available and 8.7% ICU beds available. Most of the state's open capacity is in western MA; here our capacity is more limited, which is why we have been asked to take public health interventions to help to slow the surge. Mr. Durkin said that the data is very helpful and thanked Ms. Cameron.

Vaccinations: we ran a couple of booster clinics this month, had one yesterday, and there is less demand. Mass General Brigham Women's is holding a clinic every Wednesday at the library and has adequate capacity to meet the need, through winter and into the spring and beyond if there is a need. Wrapped up in-school vaccination clinics. Will work with Peabody Family Health Center and will do another sweep of the schools, for parents who changed minds.

Testing: The Stop the Spread program is in Salem and Lynn. Through partnership with Curative Health and Analogic, and there are two regular opportunities in Peabody now, Railroad Ave. and Centennial Drive, operating Monday through Saturday, with no out of pocket costs. They will take insurance and if no insurance, will use state funds to offset.

Mr. Durkin asked re: vaccination clinic if they have to do appointment in advance. Cameron replied no, they do not take appointments, people had challenges getting appointments so just walk in lines which haven't been bad.

Subject Update on COVID-19 response actions c. Review of Emergency regulation of 12-22-21 regarding mask use in indoor public places

Discussion: Mr. Durkin said he has read a number of e-mails from people on this subject, and thanks everyone for their input. This is not a public hearing, but he will allow some public comments. Last time it went very well, and asks that people keep remarks to one or two minutes, try not to keep repeating themselves, and said that new information is always welcome. Ms. Cameron announced each caller as they were invited to participate:

Danielle: I, along with some other people, have sent a letter because I was disappointed as a mom of 2 young kids that a mask mandate was put into effect. I appreciate all of the data, have read a lot of studies, on helpfulness of masks, and they disprove that masks are helpful. I work in gym and feel that masking is polarizing. People have options to mask. People say the science is changing; I understand that, but if everyone would say we don't have all of the answers or say we got it wrong, it would be easier, but getting the changing information is frustrating. You feel the need to take some sort of action, but the virus does what it does regardless of masks. I don't wear them even with mandate in place, people don't say anything they know we have had enough. Childhood education 101 says that kids need to see faces. I have a child with anxiety, had panic attacks, needs to end. The school principal disregards the medical exemption. We want to be left alone, want to be able to make our own decisions. Mr. Durkin thanked her and said that sounds familiar, and said that yes, she also wrote letter to the board.

Ellen: Thanks for having me. Piggybacking on Danielle, I'm a member of a facility in Peabody and will not return as long as a mask mandate is in place, will not shop where masks are required. It needs to end, we know the risk, we'll keep our distance, I have been vaccinated and it's time to move on. Mr. Durkin thanked her and said we will consider those comments.

Beth Amico: Thanks for having me. I wanted to come on briefly to say that we have a letter on behalf of the Peabody Chamber of Commerce. We put a brief survey out to members, how is it going, is there anything we can do to help. The letter we submitted says that there is a balance to be achieved of ensuring businesses stay safe and stay successful, it is a tough weight to bear, and we respect it, and people have different opinions, and we respect those as well. We appreciate that you let us submit the letter and have read it. The more communication our businesses have and can share with customers and families the better. Such a huge circle of people are being impacted, families, incomes, we want to ensure that everyone is safe, just want to make sure that businesses have been taken into consideration, and are included in the discussion on how they are being effected personally and professionally. She added that her 16- year -old daughter got her booster last night, the process was seamless, and had to give credit for that and to thank you as well. Mr. Durkin replied thank you, we really appreciate your letter and comments, and please thank the chamber and business community which is such an important part of our community.

Andrew O'Donnell: I also serve the community, and there is no refuting the data that covid has impacted all of us, but I am here to offer an additional point of view regarding cardiovascular health, which does have huge impact on health. He said that the mask mandate is keeping people out of the gym due to anxiety or asthma, and it is heartbreaking to see people keep away from facilities that are there to take care of their health.

Ekaterina: I have a health condition, anemia with low hemoglobin, and when I wear a mask I don't get enough oxygen. I am otherwise very healthy, but love to work out but had to cancel my gym membership, because I won't go out if I have to wear a mask. I try to explain about my health condition, some look at me, some ask me to leave, and feels like discrimination, and I would like to walk around without people staring at me. I would like you to reconsider the mask mandate.

Mr. Durkin asked again if anyone would like to speak on this matter to please raise your hand. No additional hands were raised so this portion of the meeting was closed.

Mr. Durkin said that the board had made a commitment that we would be data driven and timely on the mask mandate. He asked what the board feels. Dr. Fleet replied that she can certainly appreciate the comments especially those of the chamber of commerce. She asked in relation to the metrics are wastewater studies being conducted on covid levels there. Mr. Durkin explained that at his place of business MWRA they are testing waste water, and this project, called biobot, has been able to identify covid in the waste water, as a pretty strong predictor of what the hospitals are likely to see within 7-10 days. He added that they have seen that the levels are coming down, but he is not sure if it has come down enough.

Dr Fleet said she is happy to see the decrease which is a good predictor of where we are going, but with positivity still in double digits at this time, and more at home testing not being reported, it is still not the time to lift the mask mandate. We need to wait a little longer until there is a little more wiggle room in the number of hospitalizations. Mr. Carli agreed with Dr. Fleet and said the wastewater data was good news, and that he thinks we should revisit the issue in February but right now is not comfortable rescinding that mask mandate.

Ms. Cameron shared some information on a project about daily deaths modelling, and told that a well respected university, Washington Heath medical school, did some modelling using different scenarios, and number of deaths is projected based upon 80% of people masking versus if nothing changes. If nothing changes, we will have the highest level of transmission possible. The next line shows if 80% of population uses masks, the model shows a 28% decline in cases by March 1st if you have 80% mask use and average daily deaths would decrease from 180 to 130 deaths which is a pretty significant difference. She said that the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends everyone over age 2 should wear a well- fitting face mask, and the CDC says the same. She has received correspondence referring to "a lot of different scientists", but the main resource that public health professionals rely on is the CDC, which recommends masking regardless of vaccination status.

Mr. Carli agreed with Dr. Fleet, and said we are closer to the place we all desire, of not having masking, but we are not there yet. Mr. Durkin said that he is very sensitive to the struggle people are going through- it's real and unfortunate, and said he commiserates with those comments, but said that we have a responsibility to watch the numbers and to watch the data, so he will make it unanimous to say he's not ready to remove the mandate, so he votes to leave it in place and revisit the matter next month. He added that he is even willing to pick an earlier Thursday not the last Thursday next month to revisit this, adding that it is not easy.

Ms. Cameron noted that someone on the call had a hand raised so Mr. Durkin invited the person to speak.

Ekaterina: Thanks for letting me speak again, I remembered something I had forgotten to say earlier. I really appreciate the data on 80% compliance to decrease health implications, lessen transmission. I

observe in stores there is almost 100% compliance voluntarily. Why do children 2 and up have to wear masks, we have to consider other health implications too, my child wants to see my smile, see what I say, and doesn't understand why have to wear a mask, wants to see friend faces. We have to consider other health implications, especially for children since there is data that says they don't get typically get sick with covid.

Mr. Durkin said thanks again, we will consider that. He added that it nearly breaks his heart hearing parents advocating for their children like that, but it is the science we rely on, the American Academy of Pediatrics and the CDC, so we will proceed cautiously following their guidance.

Subject Update on COVID-19 response actions d. Review of isolation/quarantine guidelines; e. Changes to contact tracing protocols

Discussion: Ms. Cameron explained that the CDC rules for isolating and quarantining have changed. Instead of isolating for 10 days a person can return after 5 days but must continue to wear a mask for 5 days when around other people. She told that CDC also changed quarantining requirements, only 5 days if not symptomatic, and 5 additional days masking when around others. She added that the state has also introduced a new protocol for schools and daycares to allow people to forego the traditional quarantining and testing model. If people opt in they will receive at home test kits to do routine testing on a weekly basis. This will eliminate the test and stay program which has been very successful. They give local health departments the option to continue to contact trace if clusters or sports or club exposures, but in general people will no longer be contacted by the school or health department to notify of an in-school exposure. We are moving to a model of person responsibility where people are supposed to be telling their own close contacts, people doing the right thing, staying home if had an exposure or symptoms, hoping people will take that responsibility on themselves.

Subject: 3. Environmental updates a. Rousselot update

Discussion: We have received three complaints over the last month. We continue to reach out to Rousselot, they get back to us with what they attribute the source of odor to and what the cause was. There are typically not a lot of complaints this time of year, but we continue to monitor every month.

Subject: 3. Environmental updates b. Northeast Nursery update

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject: 3. Environmental updates c. Third Party Inspection Report, Peabody Ash Monofill

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject: 3. Environmental updates d. Environmental Notification Form, 8 Centennial Dr.

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 4. Code enforcement updates- a. Chapter II: 6 Crowninshield St; 16 Warren St; 10 Bowditch St;

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 4. Code enforcement updates- b. Nuisances: 107 Main St.

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 4. Code enforcement updates- c. Tobacco: 23 Newbury St;

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 4. Code enforcement updates- d. Other: 25 Farm Ave;

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 5. Permitting updates- a. List of permits issued in December 2021

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 6. Public Health Nursing updates- a. December 2021 surveillance report Reviewed

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 7. School Health updates

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject 8. Grant updates- a. INTERFACE Referral Service update

Ms. Cameron explained that, because of limits on number of clinicians and high demand for services, INTERFACE has put a pause on taking new intakes, due to limits on how many patients a provider can see , but they will advertise more once that prohibition has lifted. Mr. Durkin said that we are seeing more mental health issues during pandemic. Mr. Carli said that he would venture to guess that covid has exacerbated mental health needs. He said that this is a good resource, and he hopes it is successful.

Subject: 9. Correspondence-a. From MassDEP re: Peabody Landfill – Conditional Approval

Discussion: Reviewed

Subject: other items: Local emergency order on eviction expiration

Discussion: Ms. Cameron said that she would like to discuss the BOH emergency order on eviction enforcement from April 2020, which was superseded when the state order was put in place, but the question has arisen whether or not our order has expired along with the state order . We understood that the local order was sunsetted, but it would be a good idea to get on record that our order has expired along with the state order. Chief Carli made a motion to sunset the emergency eviction order from April 2020. Dr Fleet seconded the motion. The board voted, and all were in agreement.

Next meeting date: February 17th , 2022

Adjournment: 5:56 p.m.